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RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY OF THOMAS A. PETRIE ON BEHALF OF INTERVENER WINDFALL COALITION

I. INTRODUCTION

1 Q1: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

2 A: My name is Thomas A. Petrie. I am Chairman of Petrie Partners, LLC, an energy focused investment banking firm that offers financial advisory services to the oil and gas industry. My firm provides specialized advice on mergers, divestitures and acquisitions and private placements. The business address is 1700 Lincoln Street, Denver, CO 80203.

6 Q2: PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.

8 A: I received my Bachelor of Science degree from the U.S. Military Academy at West Point and received my Masters of Science in Business Administration from Boston University. Prior to Petrie Partners, I was Vice Chairman of Bank of America Merrill Lynch. I joined Merrill Lynch in 2006 when they merged with Petrie Parkman & Co., an energy
investment banking firm that I had co-founded in 1989. Before Petrie Parkman & Co., I
was a Managing Director and Senior Oil Analyst of The First Boston Corporation.

In the 1970s, I began my career in the energy sector by analyzing publicly traded
securities. Since then, I have been an active advisor on more than $250 billion of energy
related mergers and acquisitions. Notably, my firm Petrie Parkman & Co. advised the
Kingdom of Saudi Arabia on its natural gas initiative, the State of Alaska on gas pipeline

I am a Chartered Financial Analyst. I was past President of the Board of Directors
of the National Association of Petroleum Investment Analysts. Also, I served on the
Securities and Exchange Commission Advisory Board on Oil and Gas Accounting.
Additionally, I’ve authored the book, FOLLOWING OIL: Four Decades of Cycle-Testing
Experiences and What They Foretell about U.S. Energy Independence.

Q3: HAVE YOU OR YOUR FIRM APPEARED AS A WITNESS BEFORE ANY
REGULATORY COMMISSIONS?
A: No, but I have been previously involved in providing energy policy and economic input
and advice to the governmental authorities noted above.

Q4: WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
A: I am here to provide expert testimony on my view of the intermediate and long term outlook
for natural gas supply, and resulting prices in the United States and what impact this price
may have on the economic merits of the Wind Catcher project. My price outlook contrasts
with the Base, Low and High price scenarios put forth by PSO in their supporting materials
used to justify the net present value and economic benefit of the Wind Catcher project.
II. NATURAL GAS PRICE FORECASTS

Q5: WHAT WOULD YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT NATURAL GAS PRICES TO MAKE AN INFORMED INVESTMENT DECISION ABOUT A PROJECT LIKE WIND CATCHER?

A: The big-picture theme for natural gas is that over the last decade, and for the better part of this 21st century, technological enhancements in horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing used when exploring for and developing natural gas reserves have revolutionized the extraction of hydrocarbons from shale formations. The proliferation of unconventional drilling in the United States has decreased commodity price volatility, and natural gas is now a relatively more stably priced and plentiful hydrocarbon resource.

Page 5 of Exhibit TAP-1 contains the chart below, which shows surging production leading to low gas prices.

The majority of the materials and assumptions that I have reviewed relating to the project base its investment merits on the assumption of very high future natural gas prices, rising costs in broad electrical generation and consumer rates and therefore, the need for an alternative source of long term generation and supply.
Q6: PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR NATURAL GAS PRICE OUTLOOK.

A: Although not always perfectly predictive, I believe that informed forecasts by credible, independent third-party professionals and specialized organizations can form the basis for a reasonable expectation and consensus perspective on likely future outcomes. Henry Hub futures contracts trade on the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX), and these futures prices are widely used as a benchmark for forward months and years of expected natural gas prices. The average of these thousands of futures contracts traded daily for natural gas through 2021 are summarized in the graph below and on page 6 of Exhibit TAP-1. The United States Energy Information Agency (EIA) also puts out monthly energy outlook reports that provide predictions on natural gas prices. The forecast as of November 2017 is summarized on the same chart. Additionally, Bloomberg (BLOOM) and Factset (FCC) are regarded as the authoritative clearing houses for aggregating publicly reported research analyst forecasts of natural gas prices and these projections are captured below as well.

Page 6 of Exhibit TAP-1 contains the chart below. This natural gas price outlook shows that NYMEX futures, EIA estimates and broker research estimates from both Bloomberg and Factset expect prices to average approximately $3.00 MMBtu for the next several years.
In the current commodity forecasting environment, the average of all forward futures contracts, EIA estimates, and research analyst estimates from major financial institutions provides an informed outlook on natural gas prices. Additionally, historical strip price forecasts provide differentiated price insights.

Page 7 of Exhibit TAP-1 contains the strip price chart below. The forecasts as of 2015, 2016 and 2017 for strip prices have consistently declined year over year, and the forward five-year strip is currently averaging approximately $3.00 MMBtu.

The outlook for United States natural gas prices beyond ten years in the future is much more uncertain. However, I know that over the long-run natural gas prices are determined by supply and demand fundamentals. The natural gas market price is determined by the number of producers and consumers of natural gas.

Q7: PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR VIEW OF NATURAL GAS SUPPLY.

A: I believe that United States gas production will continue to outpace domestic consumption because of abundant shale gas reserves and low breakeven well costs. Technological advancements and more effective drilling programs have created a new era for United
States gas supply, and that is why American energy companies have been increasing gas production for much of the past decade. Our country has a prolific resource base of natural gas, and the ability of operators to detect and economically extract these resources keeps improving. Additionally, operators can respond to natural gas price increases within 30-60 days by producing more of this plentiful resource, putting downward pressure on prices.

Page 14 of Exhibit TAP-1 contains the map below of basins and shale plays. Significant amounts of natural gas resources in shale formations have become economic to recover in recent years, thanks to drilling innovations.

On January 1, 2015, the EIA estimated that the technically recoverable shale resources in the United States were 1,316 trillion cubic feet. If history is any guide, the energy industry will continue to find new reserves and development opportunities going forward. American ingenuity in the oil and gas sector is truly unmatched.
Page 15 of Exhibit TAP-1 contains the chart below, which shows dry gas shale production increasing significantly since 2009. Key drivers of gas production growth are coming from the Appalachia Marcellus/Utica, North Louisiana Haynesville, West Texas Permian, and Oklahoma SCOOP/STACK plays.

![Dry Gas Production by Shale Play (2009-2017 YTD)](chart.png)

It is my belief and generally accepted within the sector that shale gas production will continue to grow substantially. Increased drilling activity has occurred despite sustained lower prices because drilling technology advances have lowered well costs and boosted well productivity, improving margins and economic returns. Through my work at Petrie Partners and frequent conversations with energy company executives, I have confidence that technology advances will continue to be made over the next decade. Breakeven costs in gas-weighted fields will keep coming down. Additionally, oil wells nationwide are predicted to produce an additional 9 billion cubic feet a day of associated natural gas over the next few years, according to estimates from Tudor, Pickering, Holt & Co. and Macquarie Group. Most oil wells produce natural gas as a byproduct, and that gas output
rises commensurate as oil drilling accelerates. Oil drilling activity is expected to grow in Oklahoma and West Texas, and these new wells will contribute to the natural gas supply glut in the United States.

Page 12 of Exhibit TAP-1 contains the storage chart below. For the past few years, the United States has had elevated storage levels of natural gas, which leads to lower prices. Henry Hub prices have averaged less than $3.00 MMBtu during this time period.
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Rapid growth in United States reserves and production has resulted in an overproduced situation relative to domestic demand, leading to significant, sustained builds in natural gas storage for the last several years. United States supply capabilities are the key reason that I believe natural gas prices will stay low for decades.

Q8: DO YOU AGREE WITH PSO'S ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT NATURAL GAS PRICE FORECASTS?

A: PSO's price forecasts appear too high. Their forecast assumptions do not adequately reflect the fundamentals that will likely drive the future supply/demand dynamic of the United States domestic gas market.
Slide 21 of Wind Catcher Technical Conference Presentation from October 20, 2017 contains the chart below, which shows PSO's key pricing assumptions. The Low, Base and High price cases start in 2021 approximately between $5.00 and $6.00 MMBtu. Over the next 25 years, these PSO forecasts significantly increase to prices approximately between $10.00 and $12.00 MMBtu.

Page 17 of Exhibit TAP-1 contains the breakeven price chart below. Production is profitable at prices below $3.00 MMBtu for most of these regions.
The vast majority of gas producing regions in the United States are extremely profitable at $4.00 MMBtu and above gas prices.

Q9: IF NATURAL GAS PRICES RISE ABOVE $4 MMBtu AS PSO FORECASTS, HOW WOULD NATURAL GAS SUPPLY RESPOND?

A: I believe that any material increase in natural gas prices will result in a rapid acceleration in development activity, bringing on additional ample supply, and this new supply would drive down prices.

Additionally, if natural gas prices were to rise above $4 MMBtu, substantial amounts of new resources would become viewed by operators as economic to drill.

Q10: IS PSO's LOW PRICE FORECAST HIGHER THAN RECENT PRICES?

A: PSO's 2017 Low forecast assumes a $4.69 MMBtu 2018 price average. This price is 57% higher than 2017's year to date average price of approximately $2.98 MMBtu and 62% higher than the current NYMEX futures 2018 average of approximately $2.90 MMBtu.

Page 9 of Exhibit TAP-1 contains the price chart below. Previous PSO forecasts have consistently overestimated future natural gas prices in years 2012, 2013 and most recently in 2017. These forecasts did not adequately account for significant increases in United States production, which lowered natural gas prices.
III. ECONOMIC COST

Q11: HOW WOULD REALISTIC NATURAL GAS PRICE EXPECTATIONS AFFECT THE WIND CATCHER PROJECT’S ECONOMICS?

A: Page 24 of Exhibit TAP-1 contains the valuation table below. The table shows the results of our illustrative net present value (NPV) analysis for $3.00, $4.00 and $5.00 MMBtu pricing scenarios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2020 NPV (S/MMBtu)</th>
<th>Prices (2021-2045)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Adjusted Production Cost Savings</td>
<td>$582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Congestion and Loss Cost</td>
<td>($158)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Capacity Value</td>
<td>$74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wind Facility Revenue Requirement</td>
<td>($1,163)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Production Tax Credits</td>
<td>$837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Gen-Tie Line Revenue Requirement</td>
<td>($538)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Total Benefits/(Costs)</td>
<td>($366)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This analysis shows that the bulk of the NPV benefit comes in the form of production tax credits and cost savings, but these benefits are not enough to offset the incremental capital and costs of the project under likely future price scenarios.

Q12: HOW DID YOU PERFORM YOUR NET PRESENT VALUE ANALYSIS?

A: Our firm ran a line of best fit (regression), which was then used to calculate Adjusted Production Cost Savings at realistic natural gas prices. I analyzed the effect of natural gas prices on Adjusted Production Cost Savings because changes to the gas price variable had an approximately 95% correlation to the results for PSO’s assumed High, Base and Low natural price scenarios. In the 2020 NPV table, lines 3 through 6 do not change due to natural gas prices, and we assumed that line 2 has the same value as PSO’s Base case.
Q13: WHAT ARE THE SIMILARITIES BETWEEN A PRIVATE SECTOR DECISION TO INVEST IN A PROJECT LIKE WIND CATCHER AND THE COMMISSION'S DECISION TO APPROVE THE PROJECT?

A: In my 43 years of financial sector experience evaluating the economic merits of various investments, I think any individual, private or public company or organization should evaluate a decision on whether to invest in a significant project based on the credibility of the financial assumptions, which should be consistent with realistic market conditions, and that the application of these assumptions in a diligent, intellectually honest manner yield an attractive (profitable) outcome. For a project like Wind Catcher, I wouldn't expect a private sector investor to approve a multi-billion dollar project if it had significant unresolved revenue, regulatory and profitability issues.

Q14: IF REALISTIC NATURAL GAS PRICE FORECASTS ARE USED, HOW MUCH OF THE NET BENEFIT OF THE PROJECT IS DUE TO TAX CREDITS?

A: Without production tax credits, we calculate the Wind Catcher project would only breakeven at a future implied natural gas price of approximately $6.88 MMBtu. Even with production tax credits of $837 MM, we calculate Wind Catcher will have a negative net present value vs. potential alternatives, unless gas prices are above approximately $4.18 MMBtu.

IV. CONCLUSION

Q15: DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY?

A: Yes, it does.
AFFIRMATION

I state under penalty of perjury under the laws of Oklahoma that the foregoing is true and correct in substance and in fact to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signed: [Signature]

Name: Thomas. A. Petrie

Date: December 2, 2017
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Introduction
Introduction

Summary Observations

Overview

- Technological advancements by leading US E&P operators in 3D seismic surveys, horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing has encouraged the development of low risk, repeatable natural resources across large land positions

- The natural gas market has become much more stable and developed

- Research analysts, government energy agencies and the current NYMEX based futures strip predict prices to average ~$3.00 MMBtu for the foreseeable future

- Gas supply will likely outpace domestic demand

- Elevated natural gas storage levels result in lower natural gas prices

- US-based Cheniere, Freeport LNG, Sempra Energy and Dominion Energy expect to export over 9 Bcfpd of liquefied natural gas to international markets by 2021, as a result of oversupply

- Many US resource basins have large gas reserves and low break-even costs

- Production activity in the Marcellus, Utica, Permian and SCOOP/STACK shale plays continues to drive increases in US gas supply
Natural Gas Pricing
Observations
Natural Gas Pricing Observations

Key Themes for US Gas Market

Overview

- Over the last 30 years, natural gas in the United States has evolved from a regulated market to become a crucial input fuel for utilities and industry

  - Natural gas is now a relatively more stably priced and plentiful hydrocarbon resource

  - The proliferation of unconventional drilling in the US has decreased oil and gas commodity price volatility

- Natural gas prices have averaged less than $3.00 MMBtu since 2015

- Abundant gas reserves and more effective drilling programs provide real-time flexibility to US producers

  - In the event prices rise materially, producers can respond within 30-60 days to produce more natural gas

  - Supply flexibility allows market prices to return more quickly to equilibrium levels
Natural Gas Pricing Observations

A Transformed Natural Gas Outlook

- Surging natural gas production has led to low prices
- Petrochemical companies believe that US natural gas prices will remain low and globally competitive for decades
  - Natural gas is a feedstock for the chemical industry, and US chemical investment linked to shale gas is $185 Bn and counting

U.S. Natural Gas Production vs. Prices (1982 – 2017 YTD)

Natural Gas Pricing Observations

Henry Hub Gas Outlook

Market Trends
- Surging natural gas production in recent years has materially reduced prices
- Modern drilling techniques eliminate volatility by allowing producers to ramp up or ramp down production more quickly to meet demand
- Research analysts and the market strip expect natural gas prices to average approximately $3.00 MMBtu for the foreseeable future

Historical Henry Hub Spot Price ($/MMBtu)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>$3.37</td>
<td>$5.49</td>
<td>$6.73</td>
<td>$8.66</td>
<td>$8.86</td>
<td>$4.01</td>
<td>$4.34</td>
<td>$2.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gas Price Outlook ($/MMBtu)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLOOM</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strip</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Market data per FactSet and Bloomberg as of November 24, 2017. EIA STEO as of November 2017.
Natural Gas Pricing Observations

Historical Strip Price Forecasts

Forward Strip (As of January 1)

Source: Market data per Bloomberg.
Natural Gas Pricing Observations

Historical Strip Price Forecasts (2009 – YTD)

(1) Henry Hub Strip forecasts per Bloomberg for each year as of January 1.
(2) Henry Hub Strip forecast per Bloomberg as of November 24, 2017.
Natural Gas Pricing Observations

PSO Henry Hub Price Forecasts

Summary

- Previous PSO forecasts overestimated future natural gas prices
  - Forecasts failed to account for increased US production, which lowered natural gas prices
- PSO's 2017 price forecasts are significantly higher than historical price averages for recent years

PSO High Price Case

PSO Low Price Case

(2) Henry Hub historical prices per Bloomberg as of November 24, 2017.
Natural Gas Supply Overview
Natural Gas Supply Overview

Introduction

- Technological enhancements in horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing have revolutionized the extraction of oil and gas from “source rock” shale geologic formations

- As of January 1, 2015, the US Energy Information Administration estimates approximately 2,355 Tcf of technically recoverable resources of dry natural gas in the United States

  - At the 2015 resource estimate levels and the 2016 US consumption level of approximately 27.5 Tcf per year, the United States has enough currently recoverable natural gas resource to last ~86 years

- Key drivers of US gas production growth are coming from the Appalachia Marcellus/Utica, West Texas Permian and Oklahoma SCOOP/STACK plays

  - Large natural gas reserves and low breakeven costs in many shale plays ensure that the US will keep its new position as a low-cost natural gas producer for many decades

- US gas production will continue to outpace domestic consumption, and elevated storage levels leads to lower prices
Natural Gas Supply Overview

Industry Supply Overview

Supply Will Outpace US Demand

- When storage levels have been significantly above 5-year average, gas prices sharply declined
- Underground storage has been near maximum 5-year levels for the past two years
- EIA predicts US total gas supply will grow approximately 5.8 Bcfpd by December 2018

Natural Gas In Underground Storage (Bcf)

US Natural Gas Production and Imports (Bcfpd)

Storage Above/Below 5-Year Average vs Gas Price

Henry Hub Monthly Settle Price ($/mmbtu)


(1) Henry Hub historical prices per Bloomberg as of November 24, 2017, storage data is monthly average of EIA weekly storage reports.
Natural Gas Supply Overview

Natural Gas Proved Reserves

- As technology improves, the ability to detect and extract natural gas will also improve.

- If prices rise, proved reserves will increase because more hydrocarbons become economically viable.

  - In 2015, average price of natural gas fell to $2.62 MMBtu, declining over 40% compared to 2014.

Proved Reserves in Shale Plays (Tcf)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shale Play</th>
<th>Reserve (Tcf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marcellus</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle Ford</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodford</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnett</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haynesville</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utica</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayetteville</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EIA US Natural Gas Proved Reserves Year-end 2015.
Natural Gas Supply Overview

Prolific Resource Base

Major Basins and Shale Plays Producing Significant Amounts of Natural Gas

Proved Shale Reserves (1): 263 Tcf
Unproved Shale Resources (1): 1,053 Tcf
US Technically Recoverable Shale Resources (1): 1,316 Tcf

Unproved Resources
Regional Production

Bakken
Unproved Resources: 18.3 Tcf
Regional Production: 2.0 Bcfpd

Anadarko
Unproved Resources: 23.0 Tcf
Regional Production: 6.1 Bcfpd

Haynesville
Unproved Resources: 174.6 Tcf
Regional Production: 7.1 Bcfpd

Eagle Ford
Unproved Resources: 52.2 Tcf
Regional Production: 5.2 Bcfpd

Marcellus
Unproved Resources: 211.3 Tcf
Regional Production: 19.6 Bcfpd

Utica
Unproved Resources: 199.2 Tcf
Regional Production: 6.5 Bcfpd


(2) EIA Drilling Productivity Report as of July and November 2017.

Petrie Partners

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL
Natural Gas Supply Overview

Historical Production by Shale Play

Dry Gas Production by Shale Play (2009-2017 YTD)

Source: DrillingInfo and EIA Data as of October 1, 2017.

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL
Rig Counts Through Downturn

Monthly Average of Horizontal Rigs

Source: Baker Hughes as of November 22, 2017.
Natural Gas Supply Overview

Breakeven Prices For Natural Gas Plays

Wellhead Price Required For 10% IRR

Source: Evercore ISI research published on November 13, 2017, analysis assumes WTI price of $50/bl.

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL
Natural Gas Supply Overview

Permian – Growing Gas Supply

Supply Projections
- By the end of 2020, Permian gas production is expected to increase by 5.5 Bcfpd from year-end 2016 levels (1)
  - By comparison, the Marcellus gas play is projected to grow production by 6.1 Bcfpd during the same period
- Most oil wells produce natural gas as a byproduct, and that gas output rises commensurate as oil drilling accelerates

Historical Permian Gas Production (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Production (Bcfpd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Tudor Pickering Holt & Co. estimates from May 30, 2017 WSJ article.
(2) Drillinginfo gross gas production as of August 2017.

"Natural gas is gushing out of West Texas, a byproduct of frenzied drilling for oil…So far, Permian drillers have been unresponsive to falling local gas prices, focusing instead on U.S. crude prices which are trading around a two-year high at about $57 a barrel. Bernstein analysts estimate that the roughly 6 billion cubic feet of gas that needs to be moved out of West Texas each day will rise to 8.5 billion cubic feet by late 2019, assuming oil prices remain high enough to encourage drilling."

November 20, 2017
THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

"The oil-rich Permian Basin is emerging as a major source of new natural gas, a development that could deepen an existing glut and pressure gas prices for years...The new oil wells also produce natural gas, making it a nearly free byproduct...The region is poised to rival new gas output from the Appalachian Marcellus Shale, the U.S.'s biggest gas-producing region...Oil wells nationwide are expected to generate another 9 billion cubic feet a day of natural gas over the next several years."

May 30, 2017
THE WALL STREET JOURNAL
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Marcellus and Utica – Operator Perspective

"We continue to see outstanding results from our advanced completions in the Marcellus that we began implementing in early 2016. In recognition of these productivity gains, our reserve engineers have now upgraded nearly 600 proved and probable drilling locations in the Marcellus from our previous 1.7 Bcf/1,000' type curve to an approximate 2.0 Bcf/1,000' type curve. The enhanced productivity... resulted in a further reduction in per unit development costs."

Paul Rady, Chairman and CEO
August 2, 2017

"We have demonstrated that we lead the play and arguably lead all plays in terms of lateral length and have been consistently the lowest-cost producer in the core of the Utica in terms of D&C cost per foot of lateral. We remain excited about the progress we are continuing to make with our leading-edge super-lateral program and will maintain the concentration of our capital expenditures and focus on longer lateral development."

Benjamin Hulburt, President and CEO
November 9, 2017

"Acquisition of Rice Energy Inc. (NYSE: Rice) represents a pivotal strategic opportunity for EQT to have an unmatched asset position – and world-class inventory – in one of the most prolific natural gas basins in the United States... EQT has been operating in the Appalachian Basin for nearly 130 years, has drilled more than 2,500 horizontal wells, and has drilled the longest lateral in the Marcellus (to-date) at 17,400 feet."

Press Release
October 16, 2017

"This is an exciting time for Range as we are nearing an inflection point in our Marcellus development... the last of our natural gas transportation projects are coming online over the next few months which will allow us to develop our Marcellus position over the long-term while having access to better priced markets... we believe Range’s combination of high-quality assets and infrastructure provide a solid foundation to deliver strong returns for many years."

Jeff Ventura, CEO
October 24, 2017

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL
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SCOOP and STACK – Operator Perspective

"Total company production for the quarter came in slightly above the high end of our forecast, averaging 1,143 million cubic feet equivalent (MMcfe) per day... Natural gas production exceeded previous estimates due to timing of completions in our Woodford downspacing pilot... Of note, Cimarex is announcing drilling results from several Woodford shale wells in its Lone Rock area. These wells...show some of the best returns the company has seen to date in the Woodford shale."

3Q17 Press Release
November 7, 2017

"10 [STACK] wells delivered a combined maximum rate of 16,400 barrels of oil per day and 33.7mm cubic feet of gas per day, or over 22,000 Boe per day... we also have record production report from our fifth dual-zone Woodford density test... once again highlights the tremendous resource potential that exists in both SCOOP and STACK... the operating efficiencies and technologies we've developed over the last couple of years are unleashing the true potential of our superior geologic assets."

Jack Stark, President
November 8, 2017

"A key driver of our operational momentum is the advancement of multi-zone development activity across our world-class STACK and Delaware Basin opportunities... The strongest asset-level performance in the quarter was from the company's STACK assets, where production advanced 26 percent compared to 2016 exit rates... 14 new Meramec wells brought online in the STACK play that achieved average 30-day rates of greater than 2,300 Boe per day (55 percent oil)."

3Q17 Press Release
October 31, 2017

"Our primary focus remains SCOOP and STACK in the Anadarko Basin, world-class resource, top-tier economics. We've got very strong recent STACK HBP results kind of across the acreage position... the Woodford and Meramec intervals are both source rock generating and really...we've had strong performance north to south across the SCOOP and STACK acreage block.... So, good progress here, glad that we're getting the data we need to plan for future development. And stay tuned, big, big upside potential."

Lee Boothby, Chairman and CEO
November 17, 2017
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Operational Improvements Driving Superior Economics

Improvements Boost EURs

Operational Efficiencies

Longer Laterals in Marcellus

Meramec Production Gains

Outperforming SCOOP Wells

STACK Productivity Gains

Source: Company investor presentations.
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SCOOP/STACK Leading Operators

Horizontal Wells Since 2014

- CLR
- DVN
- MRO
- NFX
- XEC
- Other
- STACK
- SCOOP

Horizontal Rig Count (#)

- CLR
- DVN
- MRO
- NFX
- XEC
- Other
- STACK
- SCOOP

Current Production (MMcfepd)

- devon
- NEWFIELD
- Continental
- CIMAREX

Net Acres (in 000s)

- devon
- Continental
- NEWFIELD
- CIMAREX

Source: Drillinginfo as of November 22, 2017, company investor presentations and press releases.
Illustrative Impact:
Economic Modelling
Summary

- Petrie analyzed the effect of natural gas prices to Exhibit KDP-1, KDP-2 in Pearce’s July 31, 2017 testimony, making a forecast of the project’s Adjusted Production Cost Savings
  - Petrie based its assumed natural gas price impact to PSO’s High, Base and Low price scenarios, with a correlation to Adjusted Production Cost Savings of approximately 95%
  - In the 2020 NPV table, lines 2 through 6 are the same as the values in the Base case
- Estimated Adjusted Production Cost Savings under $3.00, $4.00 and $5.00 MMBtu future price scenarios ranged between $582 MM to $1,202 MM vs. $1,944 MM in Pearce’s Base case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2020 NPV ($MM)</th>
<th>Prices (2021-2045)</th>
<th>$3.00</th>
<th>$4.00</th>
<th>$5.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Adjusted Production Cost Savings</td>
<td>$582</td>
<td>$892</td>
<td>$1,202</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Congestion and Loss Cost</td>
<td>($156)</td>
<td>($156)</td>
<td>($156)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Capacity Value</td>
<td>$74</td>
<td>$74</td>
<td>$74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wind Facility Revenue Requirement</td>
<td>($1,163)</td>
<td>($1,163)</td>
<td>($1,163)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Production Tax Credits</td>
<td>$837</td>
<td>$837</td>
<td>$837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Total Benefits/(Costs)</td>
<td>($366)</td>
<td>($56)</td>
<td>$254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


(1) Ultra Low price case uses 50% of PSO’s Low price case for all years. OIEC’s 5th Q, #8 Attachment 1.
Appendix
Appendix

Thomas A. Petrie Biography

Prior to joining Petrie Partners as Chairman in 2012, Thomas A. Petrie was Vice Chairman of Bank of America Merrill Lynch. Previously, he was a co-founder of Petrie Parkman & Co., a Denver and Houston based energy investment banking firm that merged with Merrill Lynch in December 2006. Mr. Petrie was a former Managing Director and Senior Oil Analyst of The First Boston Corporation. During his career, Mr. Petrie has been an active advisor on more than $250 billion of energy related mergers and acquisitions, including a number of the larger in the industry. Among its other assignments, Petrie Parkman advised the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia on its natural gas initiative, the State of Alaska on gas pipeline options, and the U.S. Department of Energy on the sale of the Elk Hills oilfield.

An active member of several industry associations, Mr. Petrie is a past President and member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Petroleum Investment Analysts. He has served on the Securities and Exchange Commission Advisory Board on Oil and Gas Accounting and has delivered a number of technical papers to the Society of Petroleum Engineers on the subjects of petroleum valuation, merger and acquisition trends, and energy policy. He has been interviewed on numerous occasions by Barron’s and has also appeared on “The News Hour,” CNBC, Bloomberg, Fox News, and “Consuelo Mack WealthTrack” on PBS. He has also authored the book, FOLLOWING OIL: Four Decades of Cycle-Testing Experiences and What They Foretell about U.S. Energy Independence.

Mr. Petrie served for six years as a Trustee of the Association of Graduates of the United States Military Academy at West Point as well as ten years on the Board of Directors of the Gettysburg Foundation. Currently, he is a Trustee of the Denver Art Museum and serves on the Board of Directors of the C.M. Russell Museum as well as the Colorado School of Mines Foundation Board of Governors.

Mr. Petrie has a Bachelor of Science degree from the U.S. Military Academy at West Point and received his Masters of Science in Business Administration from Boston University. In December 2005, Mr. Petrie received an Honorary Doctorate of Engineering degree from the Colorado School of Mines. He is a Chartered Financial Analyst.
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